Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) of patients with Parkinson's disease.

Scandinavia Movement Disorder Society ScandModis consensus document June 2009

Background

Patients with Parkinson's disease, who no longer can be improved by optimising the oral medical treatment, have shown significant benefits from treatment with DBS. DBS has been shown to improve motor function, reduce tremor, motor fluctuations and dyskinesias, decrease use of medication and increase Quality of Life (see reference 1. and references herein).

Criteria for referral to a specialised Movement Disorder Centre for possible DBS

Patients with levodopa responsive Parkinson's disease

Age < 70 years

Preferably with a duration of Parkinson's disease > 5 years

*Moderate to severe on-off motor fluctuations

and/or

*Moderate to severe dyskinesias

and/or

Medical refractory *moderate to severe tremor

* Defined as moderate to severe impact on quality of life

Exclusion criteria

Dementia

Significant medical resistant psychiatric disease (e.g. severe depression)

Significant medical conditions with limited life expectancy

Conditions that prevent surgery or MRI

Patient eligible for DBS

Patient eligibility for DBS is determined at the Movement Disorder Centre after:

Brain imaging

Neuropsychological assessment of cognitive function and psychiatric symptoms

Levodopa challenge test

Expected outcome of DBS treatment

Expected outcome corresponds to the effect of an optimal levodopa dosage on the motor symptoms

Tremor reduction

Significant reduction of motor fluctuations

Decreased use of medication depending on surgical target, see below

Significant reduction of dyskinesias

Levodopa unresponsive symptoms like

Axial symptoms as postural instability

Freezing of gait

Dysarthria

will not improve

Surgery in Parkinson's disease

Target

The subthalamic nucleus (STN) to treat the cardinal symptoms tremor, rigidity and hypokinesia and reduce motor fluctuations

The internal part of globus pallidus (GPi) is an alternative target to treat cardinal symptoms and especially dyskinesias, however often results in less reduction of medication

The ventral intermediate nucleus of thalamus (VIM) to treat tremor only

The electrodes are implanted bilaterally and connected to a subcutaneous lead and impulse generator (IPG) localised beneath the clavicle

Each electrode has four contacts and stimulation contact and parameters are adjusted by computer telemetry

Surgical complications

Intracranial hemorrhage (appr. ½ -1 %)

Infection

Side effects

Worsening of dysarthria

Sometimes worsening of gait and balance especially patients > 65 years of age

Eyelid apraxia

Dystonia

Psychiatric symptoms (usually transient, treatable and potentially preventable) (6)

Confusion

Depression

Mania

Psychosis

Apathy

Neuropsychological symptoms

Reduced verbal fluency

Hardware complications

Patient management and follow-up

During the first 3-6 months frequent controls in the outpatient clinic to adjust stimulation parameters and medication to obtain maximum effect of stimulation.

Shared control (referral neurologist and DBS centre) of symptoms and disease development and stimulation effect.

Battery replacement every 3-4 years.

References

- 1. Ardouin C et al. Bilateral subthalamic or pallidal stimulation for Parkinson's disease affects neither memory nor executive functions: a consecutive series of 62 patients. Ann Neurol 1999;46:217-223.
- 2. Castelli L et al. Chronic deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus for Parkinson's disease: effects on cognition, mood, anxiety and personality traits. Eur Neurol 2006;55:136-144.
- 3. Deuschl G, Schade-Brittinger C, Krack P et al. A randomized trial of deep-brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. NEJM 2006;355:896-908
- 4. Houeto Jl, Mesnage V, Mallet L et al. Behavioral disorders, Parkinson's disease, and subthalamic stimulation. JNNP 2002;72:701-707
- 5. Jahanshahi M et al. The impact of deep brain stimulation on executive function in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2000:123:1142-1154.

- 6. Krack P, Batir A, Van Biercom N et al. Five-year follow-up of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucelus in advanced Parkinson's disease. NEJM 2003;349:1925-1934
- 7. Moro E et al. A decision tool to support appropriate referral for deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol 2009;256:83-88 (and references herein).
- **8.** Russmann H et al. Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease over age 70 years. Neurology 2004;63:1952-1954.
- 9. The deep-brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease study group. Deep-brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus or the pars interna of the globus pallidus in Parkinson's disease. NEJM 2001;345:956-963
- 10. Volkmann J, Albanese A, Kulisevsky J et al. Long-term effects of pallidal or subthalamic deep brain stimulation on quality of life in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2009;24:1154-1161
- 11. Voon V, Kubu C, Krack P et al. Deep brain stimulation: neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric issues. Mov Disord 2006;21(suppl 14):S305-S326
- 12. Weaver FM, Follett K, Stern M et al. Bilateral deep brain stimulation vs best medical therapy for patients with advanced Parkinson disease: a ranomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009;301:63-73
- 13. Witt K, Daniels C, Reiff J et al. Neuropsychological and psychiatric changes after deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a randomised, multicentre study. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:605-624
- 14. Østergaard K, Sunde NAa, Dupont E. Effects of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in patients with severe Parkinson's disease and motor fluctuations. Mov Disord 2002;17: 693-700
- 15. Østergaard K and Sunde N. Evolution of Parkinson's disease during four years of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus. Mov Disord 2006;21:624-631